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#### Abstract

We call $n$ a cyclic number if every group of order $n$ is cyclic. It is implicit in work of Dickson, and explicit in work of Szele, that $n$ is cyclic precisely when $\operatorname{gcd}(n, \phi(n))=$ 1. With $C(x)$ denoting the count of cyclic $n \leq x$, Erdős proved that $$
C(x) \sim e^{-\gamma} x / \log \log \log x, \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$


We show that $C(x)$ has an asymptotic series expansion, in the sense of Poincaré, in descending powers of $\log \log \log x$, namely

$$
\frac{e^{-\gamma} x}{\log \log \log x}\left(1-\frac{\gamma}{\log \log \log x}+\frac{\gamma^{2}+\frac{1}{12} \pi^{2}}{(\log \log \log x)^{2}}-\frac{\gamma^{3}+\frac{1}{4} \gamma \pi^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \zeta(3)}{(\log \log \log x)^{3}}+\ldots\right) .
$$

## 1. Introduction

Call the positive integer $n$ cyclic if the cyclic group of order $n$ is the unique group of order $n$. For instance, all primes are cyclic numbers. It is implicit in work of Dickson [Dic05], and explicit in work of Szele [Sze47], that $n$ is cyclic precisely when $\operatorname{gcd}(n, \phi(n))=1$, where $\phi(n)$ is Euler's totient. (In fact, this criterion had been stated as "evident" already by Miller in 1899 [Mil99, p. 235].) If $C(x)$ denotes the count of cyclic numbers $n \leq x$, Erdős proved in [Erd48] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x) \sim e^{-\gamma} x / \log \log \log x, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $\gamma$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Thus, the relative frequency of cyclic numbers decays to 0 but "with great dignity" (Shanks).

Several authors have investigated analogues of (1) for related counting functions from enumerative group theory. See, for example, [May79, MM84, War85, Sri87, EMM87, EM88, NS88, Sri91, NP18]. Our purpose in this note is somewhat different; we aim to refine the formula (1). Begunts [Beg01], optimizing the method of [Erd48], showed that $C(x)$ is given by $e^{-\gamma} x / \log \log \log x$ up to a multiplicative error of size $1+O(\log \log \log \log x / \log \log \log x)$ (the same result appears as Exercise 2 on p. 390 of [MV07]). We improve this as follows.

Theorem 1.1. The function $C(x)$ admits an asymptotic series expansion, in the sense of Poincaré (see [dB81, §1.5]), in descending powers of $\log \log \log x$. Precisely: There is a sequence of real numbers $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots$ such that, for each fixed positive integer $N$ and all

[^0]large $x$,
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(x)=\frac{e^{-\gamma} x}{\log \log \log x}\left(1+\frac{c_{1}}{\log \log \log x}+\frac{c_{2}}{(\log \log \log x)^{2}}\right. & \left.+\cdots+\frac{c_{N}}{(\log \log \log x)^{N}}\right) \\
& +O_{N}\left(\frac{x}{(\log \log \log x)^{N+2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 yields the following explicit determination of the constants $c_{k}$. Write the Taylor series for the $\Gamma$-function, centered at 1 , in the form $\Gamma(1+z)=$ $1+C_{1} z+C_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ Then the coefficients $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ are determined by the formal relation

$$
1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+\cdots=\exp \left(0!C_{1} z+1!C_{2} z^{2}+2!C_{3} z^{3}+\ldots\right)
$$

For computations of the $C_{k}$ and $c_{k}$, it is useful to recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(1+z)=\exp \left(-\gamma z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k} \zeta(k) z^{k}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This is one version of a well-known expansion for the digamma function; see, e.g., entries 5.7.3 and 5.7.4 in [OLBC10].) The first few $c_{k}$ are given by

$$
c_{1}=-\gamma, \quad c_{2}=\gamma^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2)=\gamma^{2}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}, \quad c_{3}=-\left(\gamma^{3}+\frac{1}{4} \gamma \pi^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \zeta(3)\right)
$$

Owing to (2), each $c_{k}$ belongs to the ring $\mathbb{Q}[\gamma, \zeta(2), \zeta(3), \ldots, \zeta(k)]$. From the fact that the coefficients of $\log \Gamma(1+z)$ are alternating in sign, one deduces that both the $C_{k}$ and the $c_{k}$ are alternating as well. Moreover,

$$
\left|c_{k}\right| \geq(k-1)!\left|C_{k}\right| \geq(k-1)!\zeta(k) / k \geq(k-1)!/ k
$$

for each $k \geq 2$. It follows that the series $1+c_{1} / \log \log \log x+c_{2} /(\log \log \log x)^{2}+\ldots$ is purely an asymptotic series, in that it diverges for all values of $x$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 has many ingredients in common with the related work cited above (see also [PP, Pol]). But we must be more careful about error terms than in earlier papers, and somewhat delicate bookkeeping is required to wind up with a clean result.

Notation. The letters $p$ and $q$, with subscripts or other decorations, are reserved for primes. We use $K_{0}, K_{1}, K_{2}$, etc. for absolute positive constants. To save space, we write $\log _{k}$ for the $k$ th iterate of the natural logarithm.

## 2. Lemmata

We will use Mertens' theorem in the following form, which is a consequence of the prime number theorem with the classical $x \exp \left(-K_{0} \sqrt{\log x}\right)$ error estimate of de la Vallée Poussin.

Lemma 2.1. There is an absolute constant c such that, for all $X \geq 3$,

$$
\sum_{p \leq X} \frac{1}{p}=\log _{2} X+c+O\left(\exp \left(-K_{1} \sqrt{\log X}\right)\right) .
$$

Moreover, for all $X \geq 3$,

$$
\prod_{p \leq X}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)=\frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log X}\left(1+O\left(\exp \left(-K_{2} \sqrt{\log X}\right)\right)\right)
$$

The following sieve result is a special case of [HR74, Theorem 7.2].
Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $X \geq Z \geq 3$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a set of primes not exceeding $Z$. The number of $n \leq X$ coprime to all elements of $\mathcal{P}$ is

$$
X \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\left(1+O\left(\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\log X}{\log Z}\right)\right)\right) .
$$

The final estimate of this section was proved independently by Pomerance (see Remark 1 of [Pom77]) and Norton (see the Lemma on p. 699 of [Nor76]).

Lemma 2.3. For every positive integer $m$ and every $X \geq 3$,

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ p \equiv 1 z(\bmod m)}} \frac{1}{p}=\frac{\log _{2} X}{\phi(m)}+O\left(\frac{\log (2 m)}{\phi(m)}\right) .
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. Outline. We summarize the strategy of the proof, deferring the more intricate calculations to later sections. Put

$$
y=\frac{\log _{2} x}{2 \log _{3} x} \quad \text { and } \quad z=\left(\log _{2} x\right) \cdot \exp \left(\sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right) .
$$

Let us call a prime $p$ dividing $n$ a standard divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}(n, \phi(n))$ if there is a prime $q \leq x^{1 / \log _{2} x}$ dividing $n$ with $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Clearly, each standard divisor of $(n, \phi(n))$ is a divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}(n, \phi(n))$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_{0}$ be the set of $n \leq x$ with no prime factor in $[2, y]$. For each positive integer $k$, let $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ be the set of $n \in \mathcal{S}_{0}$ having exactly $k$ distinct prime factors from the interval ( $y, z$ ], all of which divide $n$ to the first power only, and at least one of which is a standard divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}(n, \phi(n))$. We will estimate $C(x)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#\left(\mathcal{S}_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq \log _{3} x} \mathcal{S}_{k}\right)=\# \mathcal{S}_{0}-\sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log _{3} x} \# \mathcal{S}_{k} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $n$ is counted by $C(x)$ but not by (3). Then $n$ has a prime factor $p \leq y$. Since $n$ is counted by $C(x)$, it must be that $p \nmid \phi(n)$, so that $n$ is not divisible by any $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. By Lemma 2.2, for a given $p$ the number of those $n \leq x$ is $\ll x \prod_{q \leq x, q \equiv 1(\bmod p)}(1-1 / q) \leq$ $x \exp \left(-\sum_{q \leq x, q \equiv 1(\bmod p)} 1 / q\right)$. And by Lemma 2.3,

$$
\sum_{\substack{q \leq x \\ q \equiv 1(\bmod p)}} \frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p-1} \log _{2} x+O(1) \geq 2 \log _{3} x+O(1)
$$

Thus, the number of $n$ corresponding to a given $p$ is $\ll x \exp \left(-2 \log _{3} x\right)=x /\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{2}$. Summing on $p \leq y$, we deduce that the total number of $n$ counted by $C(x)$ but not (3) is $O\left(x / \log _{2} x\right)$.

Working from the opposite side, suppose that $n$ is counted by (3) but not by $C(x)$. Then at least one of the following holds:
(i) there is a prime $p>y$ for which $p^{2} \mid n$,
(ii) there is a prime $p>z$ that divides $n$ and $\phi(n)$,
(iii) there is a prime $p$ in $(y, z]$ dividing $n$ and a prime $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ dividing $n$ with $q>x^{1 / \log _{2} x}$,
(iv) $n$ has more than $\log _{3} x$ different prime factors in $(y, z]$.

The number of $n \leq x$ for which (i) holds is $\ll x \sum_{p>y} 1 / p^{2} \ll x / y \log y \ll x / \log _{2} x$. In order for (ii) to hold but (i) to fail, there must be a prime $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ dividing $n$. Clearly, there are most $x / p q$ such $n$ corresponding to a given $p, q$. Thus, the number of $n$ that arise this way is

$$
\ll x \sum_{p>z} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{q \leq x \\ q \equiv 1(\bmod p)}} \frac{1}{q} \ll x \sum_{p>z} \frac{\log _{2} x+\log p}{p^{2}} \ll \frac{x \log _{2} x}{z}=\frac{x}{\exp \left(\sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)}
$$

For similar reasons, the number of $n \leq x$ for which (iii) holds is

$$
\ll x \sum_{y<p \leq z} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{x^{1 / \log _{2} x<q \leq x} \\ q \equiv 1(\bmod p)}} \frac{1}{q} \ll x \sum_{p>y} \frac{\log _{3} x}{p^{2}} \ll x \frac{\log _{3} x}{\log _{2} x}
$$

To handle (iv), observe that $\sum_{y<p \leq z} 1 / p \leq K_{3} / \sqrt{\log _{3} x}<1 / 2$ for large values of $x$. Thus, the number of $n \leq x$ for which (iv) holds is (crudely) at most

$$
x \sum_{k>\log _{3} x}\left(\sum_{y<p \leq z} 1 / p\right)^{k} \leq 2 x\left(K_{3} / \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)^{\log _{3} x} \leq x / \log _{2} x
$$

Collecting estimates, we conclude that

$$
C(x)=\#\left(\mathcal{S}_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq \log _{3} x} \mathcal{S}_{k}\right)+O\left(x / \exp \left(\sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)\right)
$$

Since the error term is $O_{N}\left(x /\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{N+2}\right)$ for any fixed $N$, for the sake of proving Theorem 1.1 we may replace $C(x)$ by $\#\left(\mathcal{S}_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq \log _{3} x} \mathcal{S}_{k}\right)$.

In $\S 3.2$ we prove suitable estimates for the numbers $\# \mathcal{S}_{k}$ and in $\S 3.3$ we tie everything together and complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.
3.2. Estimating $\# \mathcal{S}_{k}$. The case $k=0$ is easy to dispense with. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# \mathcal{S}_{0}=e^{-\gamma} \frac{x}{\log y}+O\left(x / \exp \left(K_{4} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose that $1 \leq k \leq \log _{3} x$. In order for the integer $n \leq x$ to belong to $\mathcal{S}_{k}$, it is sufficient than $n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k} m$ where
(a) $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ are distinct primes belonging to $(y, z]$,
(b) the integer $m$ is free of prime factors in $[2, z]$, and
(c) $m$ has a prime factor $q \leq x^{1 / \log _{2} x}$ with $q \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{i}\right)$ for some $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.

Moreover, these conditions are close to necessary: If $n$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ but does not satisfy all of (a)-(c), then $n$ is divisible by some product $p p^{\prime}$ where $p, p^{\prime} \in(y, z]$ with $p^{\prime} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Writing $p^{\prime}=p t+1$, where $t<z / y$, we see that the number of such $n \leq x$ is at most

$$
\sum_{p \in(y, z]} \sum_{t<z / y} \frac{x}{p(p t+1)}<x \sum_{p>y} \frac{1}{p^{2}} \sum_{t<z / y} \frac{1}{t} \ll \frac{x \sqrt{\log _{3} x}}{\log _{2} x} .
$$

Thus, $\# \mathcal{S}_{k}$ is given by the count of $n \leq x$ satisfying (a)-(c), up to an error term of $O\left(x \sqrt{\log _{3} x} / \log _{2} x\right)$.

Now fix distinct primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k} \in(y, z]$. We will count the number of $n \leq x$ for which (a)-(c) hold with $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ the prime divisors of $n$ in ( $\left.y, z\right]$. To get at this, we count all $n=p_{1} \ldots p_{k} m \leq x$ where condition (b) holds and then subtract the contribution from $n$ for which (b) holds but (c) fails. By Lemma 2.2, this is approximately

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x}{p_{1} \cdots p_{k}} \prod_{p \leq z}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\left(1-\prod_{\substack{z<q \leq x^{1 / \log _{2} x} \\ q \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{i}\right) \text { for some } i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, taking $X=x / p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ (which exceeds $x^{1 / 2}$ ) and $Z=x^{1 / \log \log x}$ in Lemma 2.2, we see that the error in this approximation is (very crudely) bounded by $O\left(x /\left(p_{1} \ldots p_{k} \log _{2} x\right)\right)$.

Now we replace $\prod_{p \leq z}(1-1 / p)$ in (5) with $e^{-\gamma} / \log z$. This introduces another error of size $x /\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{k} \exp \left(K_{5} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)\right)$.

It remains to estimate the product over $q$ in (5). We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{\substack{z<q \leq x^{1 / \log _{2} x} \\
q \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{i}\right) \text { for some } i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)=\exp \left(-\sum_{\substack{z<q \leq x^{1 / \log _{2} x}}} \frac{1}{q}+O\left(\sum_{q>z}^{q \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{i}\right) \text { for some } i} \frac{1}{q^{2}}\right)\right) \\
&=\exp \left(-\sum_{\substack{z<q \leq x^{1 / \log _{2} x}}} \frac{1}{q}\right)(1+O(1 / z)) \\
& q \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{i}\right) \text { for some } i
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing, we observe that

$$
\sum_{\substack{z<q \leq x^{1 / \log _{2} x} \\ q \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{i}\right) \text { for some } i}} \frac{1}{q}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{z<q \leq x^{1 / \log _{2} x} \\ q \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{i}\right)}} \frac{1}{q}+O\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq k}} \sum_{\substack{z<q \leq x^{1 / \log _{2} x} \\ q \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{i} p_{j}\right)}} \frac{1}{q}\right),
$$

and that the $O$-term here is

$$
\ll \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq k} \frac{\log _{2} x}{p_{i} p_{j}} \ll\binom{k}{2} \frac{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{2}}{\log _{2} x} \ll \frac{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{4}}{\log _{2} x}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{z<q \leq x^{1 / \log _{2} x} \\
q \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{i}\right)}} \frac{1}{q} & =\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\log _{2} x}{p_{i}-1}+O\left(\frac{\log _{3} x}{p_{i}}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\log _{2} x}{p_{i}}+O\left(k \frac{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{2}}{\log _{2} x}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\log _{2} x}{p_{i}}+O\left(\frac{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{3}}{\log _{2} x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{\substack{z<q \leq x^{1 / \log _{2} x} \\
q \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{i}\right) \text { for some } i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) & =\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \exp \left(-\frac{\log _{2} x}{p_{i}}\right)\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{4}}{\log _{2} x}\right)\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{k} \exp \left(-\frac{\log _{2} x}{p_{i}}\right)+O\left(\frac{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{4}}{\log _{2} x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now collect estimates. We find that the number of $n \leq x$ satisfying (a)-(c) where $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ are the prime divisors of $n$ from $(y, z]$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log z}\left(\frac{1}{p_{1} \cdots p_{k}}-\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\exp \left(-\left(\log _{2} x\right) / p_{i}\right)}{p_{i}}\right)+O\left(\frac{x}{p_{1} \cdots p_{k} \exp \left(K_{5} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we sum (6) over all sets of distinct primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k} \in(y, z]$. The $O$-terms contribute $O\left(x / \exp \left(K_{5} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)\right)$. Next we look at the contribution from the $1 / p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ terms. On the one hand, the multinomial theorem immediately implies that

$$
\sum_{y<p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{k} \leq z} \frac{1}{p_{1} \cdots p_{k}} \leq \frac{1}{k!} \sigma_{0}^{k}, \quad \text { where } \quad \sigma_{0}:=\sum_{y<p \leq z} \frac{1}{p}
$$

(We have $\sigma_{0} \asymp 1 / \sqrt{\log _{3} x}$ for large $x$ by Mertens' theorem.) On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k} \in(y, z] \\
\text { distinct }}} \frac{1}{p_{1} \cdots p_{k}} & =\sum_{\substack{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k-1} \in(y, z] \\
\text { distinct }}} \frac{1}{p_{1} \cdots p_{k-1}} \sum_{\substack{y<p_{k} \leq z \\
p_{k} \notin\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k-1}\right\}}} \frac{1}{p_{k}} \\
& \geq\left(\sigma_{0}-\frac{k-1}{y}\right)_{\substack{ \\
p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k-1} \in(y, z] \\
\text { distinct }}} \frac{1}{p_{1} \cdots p_{k-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can estimate the sum over $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k-1}$ in a similar way. Iterating, we find that

$$
\sum_{\substack{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k} \in(y, z] \\ \text { distinct }}} \frac{1}{p_{1} \cdots p_{k}} \geq \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(\sigma_{0}-\frac{i}{y}\right) \geq\left(\sigma_{0}-\frac{2\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{2}}{\log _{2} x}\right)^{k}
$$

so that

$$
\sum_{y<p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{k} \leq z} \frac{1}{p_{1} \cdots p_{k}} \geq \frac{1}{k!}\left(\sigma_{0}-\frac{2\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{2}}{\log _{2} x}\right)^{k}
$$

Combining the upper and lower bounds,

$$
\sum_{y<p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{k} \leq z} \frac{1}{p_{1} \cdots p_{k}}=\frac{1}{k!} \sigma_{0}^{k}\left(1+O\left(\frac{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{3}}{\log _{2} x}\right)\right)^{k}=\frac{1}{k!} \sigma_{0}^{k}+O\left(\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{4}}{\log _{2} x}\right) .
$$

The contribution from the terms of the form $\prod_{i=1}^{k} \exp \left(-\left(\log _{2} x\right) / p_{i}\right) / p_{i}$ can be handled similarly. Put

$$
\sigma_{1}:=\sum_{y<p \leq z} \frac{\exp \left(-\left(\log _{2} x\right) / p\right)}{p} .
$$

Clearly, $\sigma_{1} \leq \sum_{y<p \leq z} 1 / p \ll 1 / \sqrt{\log _{3} x}$. Since $\exp \left(-\left(\log _{2} x\right) / p\right) \gg 1$ when $p \geq \log _{2} x$, we also have that $\sigma_{1} \gg \sum_{\log _{2} x<p \leq z} 1 / p \gg 1 / \sqrt{\log _{3} x}$. Now a computation completely parallel to the one shown above yields

$$
\sum_{y<p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{k} \leq z} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\exp \left(-\left(\log _{2} x\right) / p_{i}\right)}{p_{i}}=\frac{1}{k!} \sigma_{1}^{k}+O\left(\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{4}}{\log _{2} x}\right) .
$$

Piecing together all of our estimates, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# \mathcal{S}_{k}=e^{-\gamma} \frac{x}{\log z}\left(\frac{\sigma_{0}^{k}}{k!}-\frac{\sigma_{1}^{k}}{k!}\right)+O\left(\frac{x}{\exp \left(K_{5} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)}+\frac{x}{k!} \frac{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{4}}{\log _{2} x}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.3. Denouement. Summing (7) over positive integers $k \leq \log _{3} x$, keeping in mind that $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1} \ll 1 / \sqrt{\log _{3} x}$, we find that

$$
\sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log _{3} x} \# \mathcal{S}_{k}=e^{-\gamma} \frac{x}{\log z}\left(\exp \left(\sigma_{0}\right)-\exp \left(\sigma_{1}\right)\right)+O\left(\frac{x}{\exp \left(K_{6} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)}\right)
$$

By Mertens' theorem, $\exp \left(\sigma_{0}\right)=\frac{\log z}{\log y}\left(1+O\left(1 / \exp \left(K_{7} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)\right)\right.$ ). So recalling (4),

$$
\# \mathcal{S}_{0}-\sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log _{3} x} \# \mathcal{S}_{k}=e^{-\gamma} \frac{x}{\log z} \exp \left(\sigma_{1}\right)+O\left(x / \exp \left(K_{8} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)\right)
$$

By another application of the prime number theorem with the de la Vallée Poussin error term,

$$
\sigma_{1}=\int_{y}^{z} \frac{\exp \left(-\left(\log _{2} x\right) / t\right)}{t \log t} d \theta(t)=\int_{y}^{z} \frac{\exp \left(-\left(\log _{2} x\right) / t\right)}{t \log t} d t+O\left(1 / \exp \left(K_{9} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)\right)
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# \mathcal{S}_{0}-\sum_{1 \leq k \leq \log _{3} x} \# \mathcal{S}_{k}=e^{-\gamma} \frac{x}{\log z} \exp \left(\int_{y}^{z} \frac{\exp \left(-\left(\log _{2} x\right) / t\right)}{t \log t} d t\right)+O\left(x / \exp \left(K_{10} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed to analyze the integral appearing in this last estimate. Making the change of variables $u=\left(\log _{2} x\right) / t$,

$$
\int_{y}^{z} \frac{\exp \left(-\left(\log _{2} x\right) / t\right)}{t \log t} d t=\frac{1}{\log _{3} x} \int_{\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z}^{2 \log _{3} x} \frac{\exp (-u)}{u}\left(1-\frac{\log u}{\log _{3} x}\right)^{-1} d u
$$

Here $\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z=\exp \left(-\sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)$. Inside the domain of integration, $\log u \ll \sqrt{\log _{3} x}$, and so for each fixed positive integer $M$,

$$
\left(1-\frac{\log u}{\log _{3} x}\right)^{-1}=1+\left(\frac{\log u}{\log _{3} x}\right)+\left(\frac{\log u}{\log _{3} x}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(\frac{\log u}{\log _{3} x}\right)^{M}+O_{M}\left(\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{-(M+1) / 2}\right) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\log _{3} x} \int_{\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z}^{2 \log _{3} x} \frac{\exp (-u)}{u}\left(1-\frac{\log u}{\log _{3} x}\right)^{-1} d u \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{M} \frac{1}{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{k+1}} \int_{\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z}^{2 \log _{3} x} \frac{\exp (-u)}{u} \log ^{k} u d u \\
&+O\left(\frac{1}{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{(M+3) / 2}} \int_{\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z}^{2 \log _{3} x} \frac{\exp (-u)}{u} d u\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $O$-term here is $\ll\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(M+3)} \int_{\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z}^{2 \log _{3} x} d u / u \ll\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{2} M}$. To handle the main term, we integrate by parts to find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z}^{2 \log _{3} x} \frac{\exp (-u)}{u} \log ^{k} u d u=\exp (-u) \frac{\log ^{k+1} u}{k+1} & \left.\right|_{u=\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z} ^{u=2 \log _{3} x} \\
& +\frac{1}{k+1} \int_{\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z}^{2 \log _{3} x} \exp (-u) \log ^{k+1} u d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $0 \leq k \leq M$, and all large $x$,

$$
\left.\exp (-u) \frac{\log ^{k+1} u}{k+1}\right|_{u=\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z} ^{u=2 \log _{3} x}=\frac{-1}{k+1}\left(\log \left(\frac{\log _{2} x}{z}\right)\right)^{k+1}+O_{M}\left(1 / \exp \left(K_{11} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)\right),
$$

while

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k+1} \int_{\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z}^{2 \log _{3} x} & \exp (-u) \log ^{k+1} u d u \\
& =\frac{1}{k+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp (-u) \log ^{k+1} u d u+O_{M}\left(1 / \exp \left(K_{12} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{k+1} \Gamma^{(k+1)}(1)+O_{M}\left(1 / \exp \left(K_{12} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)\right) \\
& =k!C_{k+1}+O_{M}\left(1 / \exp \left(K_{12} \sqrt{\log _{3} x}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assembling our results,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{y}^{z} \frac{\exp \left(-\left(\log _{2} x\right) / t\right)}{t \log t} d t \\
& \quad=-\sum_{k=0}^{M} \frac{1}{k+1}\left(\frac{\log \left(\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z\right)}{\log _{3} x}\right)^{k+1}+\sum_{k=0}^{M} \frac{k!C_{k+1}}{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{k+1}}+O_{M}\left(\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{2} M}\right) \\
& \quad=\log \left(1-\frac{\log \left(\left(\log _{2} x\right) / z\right)}{\log _{3} x}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{M} \frac{k!C_{k+1}}{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{k+1}}+O_{M}\left(\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{2} M}\right) \\
& \quad=\log \frac{\log z}{\log _{3} x}+\sum_{k=0}^{M} \frac{k!C_{k+1}}{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{k+1}}+O_{M}\left(\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{2} M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now choose $M=2 N$, where $N$ is as in Theorem 1.1. In the last displayed sum on $k$, the terms of the sum with $k \geq N$ may be absorbed into the error. Doing so and exponentiating,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left(\int_{y}^{z} \frac{\exp \left(-\left(\log _{2} x\right) / t\right)}{t \log t} d t\right) \\
&=\frac{\log z}{\log _{3} x} \exp \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} \frac{(k-1)!C_{k}}{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{k}}\right)\left(1+O_{N}\left(\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{-1-N}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{-\gamma} \frac{x}{\log z} \exp \left(\int_{y}^{z}\right. & \left.\frac{\exp \left(-\left(\log _{2} x\right) / t\right)}{t \log t} d t\right) \\
& =e^{-\gamma} \frac{x}{\log _{3} x} \exp \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} \frac{(k-1)!C_{k}}{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{k}}\right)\left(1+O_{N}\left(\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{-1-N}\right)\right) \\
& =e^{-\gamma} \frac{x}{\log _{3} x} \exp \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} \frac{(k-1)!C_{k}}{\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{k}}\right)+O_{N}\left(x\left(\log _{3} x\right)^{-2-N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This expression describes $\#\left(\mathcal{S}_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq \log _{3} x} \mathcal{S}_{k}\right)$, by (8), and so also describes $C(x)$, by the discussion in §3.1. Theorem 1.1 follows, along with the description of the constants $c_{k}$ appearing in the introduction.
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