

# ON MERTENS' THEOREM FOR BEURLING PRIMES

PAUL POLLACK

ABSTRACT. Let  $1 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq p_3 \leq \dots$  be an infinite sequence  $\mathcal{P}$  of real numbers for which  $p_i \rightarrow \infty$ , and associate to this sequence the *Beurling zeta function*  $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s) := \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p_i^{-s})^{-1}$ . Suppose that for some constant  $A > 0$ , we have  $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(s) \sim A/(s-1)$ , as  $s \downarrow 1$ . We prove that  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfies an analogue of a classical theorem of Mertens:  $\prod_{p_i \leq x} (1 - 1/p_i)^{-1} \sim Ae^{\gamma} \log x$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Here  $e = 2.71828\dots$  is the base of the natural logarithm and  $\gamma = 0.57721\dots$  is the usual Euler–Mascheroni constant. This strengthens a recent theorem of Olofsson.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the free commutative monoid on the symbols  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots$ , so that the elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  correspond precisely to the products  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^{e_i}$ , where each  $e_i \geq 0$  and all but finitely many of the  $e_i$  vanish. By a *Beurling system*, we mean a map  $|\cdot|: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $|p_i| > 1$  for all  $i$ ,
- (ii)  $|ab| = |a||b|$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ ,
- (iii) for all  $x$ , there are only finitely many  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $|m| \leq x$ .

The elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  are called *Beurling integers* and the  $p_i$  are called *Beurling primes*. Throughout this article, we use the letter  $\mathcal{B}$  to denote a Beurling system (i.e., a choice of norm  $|\cdot|$ ).

In the theory of Beurling primes, the key objects of study are the counting functions

$$\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x) := \sum_{|p| \leq x} 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) := \sum_{|n| \leq x} 1,$$

where  $p$  runs over all Beurling primes of norm  $\leq x$  in the former sum and  $n$  runs over all Beurling integers of norm  $\leq x$  in the latter. One views  $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$  as an analogue of the classical prime counting function  $\pi(x)$  and  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$  as an analogue of the integer counting function  $[x]$ . The fundamental goal in *Beurling prime number theory* is to show that if one of  $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$  or  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$  behaves like its classical counterpart, then so does the other.

Beurling's initial theorem [1] was the following generalization of the classical prime number theorem: Suppose that for some fixed  $A > 0$ , we have the estimate

$$(1.1) \quad N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) = Ax + O(x/(\log x)^a)$$

with an exponent  $a > 3/2$ . Under these conditions,  $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \sim x/\log x$  (as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ). In other words, the analogue of the prime number theorem holds for the Beurling system. It is known [2] that Beurling's result is sharp: The asymptotic relation may

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11N80, Secondary 11N05, 11M45.

*Key words and phrases*. Beurling prime, Mertens' theorem, generalized prime, arithmetic semi-group, abstract analytic number theory.

fail if we only assume (1.1) with  $a = 3/2$ . Moreover, for any  $a < 1$ , there are Beurling systems for which (1.1) holds and for which  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x)/(x/\log x) = \infty$  and  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x)/(x/\log x) = 0$  (see [5], and cf. [3]). So the following theorem of Olofsson [8, Theorem 1.1], which assumes comparatively little about  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$ , is perhaps a bit surprising:

**Theorem A.** *Assume that there is a constant  $A > 0$  with  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \sim Ax$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Then one has an analogue of Mertens' theorem: As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$(1.2) \quad \prod_{|p| \leq x} (1 - 1/|p|)^{-1} \sim Ae^{\gamma} \log x.$$

In this note, we investigate weakening the hypothesis in Olofsson's result. Define the *Beurling zeta-function* by the Euler-product formula

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s) := \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{|p|^s}}.$$

Since  $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s) = \int_1^{\infty} t^{-s} dN_{\mathcal{B}}(t)$ , integration by parts shows that if  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \sim Ax$  (with  $A > 0$ ), then

$$(1.3) \quad \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s) \sim \frac{A}{s-1}, \quad \text{as } s \downarrow 1.$$

Our first theorem shows that one can replace Olofsson's hypothesis on  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$  with (1.3). In fact, (1.2) and (1.3) turn out to be equivalent.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $A > 0$ . If (1.3) holds for a Beurling system  $\mathcal{B}$ , then so does (1.2). Conversely, the Mertens-type formula (1.2) implies (1.3).*

**Remark 1.2.** Theorem 1.1 could also have been formulated with the hypothesis (1.3) on  $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s)$  replaced by the assumption that  $\sum_{|n| \leq x} \frac{1}{|n|} \sim A \log x$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Indeed, these two conditions on a Beurling system turn out to be equivalent. The forward direction of this equivalence is proved below as Lemma 2.1; the other direction follows by partial summation, using that  $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s) = \sum_n \frac{1}{|n|^s}$ .

If  $K$  is a number field, one can put the  $p_i$  in one-to-one correspondence with the prime ideals of the ring of integers of  $K$ , setting  $|p_i|$  equal to the norm of the corresponding prime ideal. Then there is a norm-preserving isomorphism between the Beurling system  $\mathcal{B}$  so obtained and the monoid of integral ideals of  $K$ . After Dirichlet and Dedekind, one knows that  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \sim Ax$ , where  $A$  depends on certain arithmetic invariants of  $K$ . Thus, Olofsson's Theorem A gives another proof of Mertens' theorem for number fields. (For a precise statement, see [12, Theorem 2].) Unfortunately, Theorem A does not apply in the global function field case, since the number of divisors of norm  $\leq x$  is not asymptotic to a constant multiple of  $x$ . However, it is still true that the associated zeta function has a simple pole at  $s = 1$  with an easily described residue, and so Theorem 1.1 permits one to recover the function field analogue of Mertens' theorem [12, Theorem 3], although without an explicit error term. Thus, we achieve a unified proof of Mertens' theorem for global fields. For further work on these generalizations, see [7].

Using the known results on the analytic behavior of Ruelle zeta functions, Theorem 1.1 immediately yields Sharp's analogue of Mertens' theorem for hyperbolic flows [13]; cf. [10]. (The needed properties of these zeta functions are established

in Theorem 5.6 on p. 84 of [9], the example on pp. 85–86, and the calculation at the top of p. 96. See also the survey in [11, §6].)

One may try weakening Olofsson's hypotheses even further. As remarked above, the hypothesis (1.3) is equivalent to the assumption that  $\sum_{|n|\leq x} \frac{1}{|n|} \sim A \log x$ . In the next theorem, we consider the situation where one supposes only that  $\sum_{|n|\leq x} \frac{1}{|n|} \asymp \log x$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Beurling system for which*

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{|n|\leq x} \frac{1}{|n|} \asymp \log x$$

for all  $x \geq 2$ . Then for  $x \geq 2$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad \prod_{|p|\leq x} (1 - 1/|p|)^{-1} \asymp \log x.$$

*This conclusion is best possible, in the sense that (1.4) may hold without the quotient of the left and right-hand sides of (1.5) tending to a limit (as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ).*

**Remarks 1.4.**

- (i) Harold Diamond and Wen-Bin Zhang have also obtained proofs of Theorem 1.1. Their arguments, somewhat different from those given here, will appear in a forthcoming book on Beurling primes by these authors.
- (ii) The present form of Theorem 1.3 is due to the referee. In an earlier version of this paper, the estimate  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \asymp x$  was assumed in place of (1.4) in order to deduce (1.5). The author thanks the referee for sketching a proof of the strengthened result.

**Notation.** We continue to use  $\mathcal{B}$  to denote a fixed Beurling system. In what follows, the letters  $m, n$ , and  $d$  are reserved for Beurling integers, and the letter  $p$  for Beurling primes. We always assume (relabeling the  $p_i$  if necessary) that  $|p_1| = \min_{i \geq 1} |p_i|$ . We use  $\text{Ei}$  for the exponential integral, so that  $\text{Ei}(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{e^t}{t} dt$ .

We remind the reader that “ $f = O(g)$ ”, “ $f \ll g$ ”, and “ $g \gg f$ ” all mean that for some positive constant  $C$ , we have  $|f| \leq Cg$  for all values of the variables under consideration. The notation  $f \asymp g$  means that  $f \ll g$  and  $g \ll f$ . All implied constants may depend on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We begin by quoting two Tauberian theorems from the literature (see [6, Theorems 15.1 and 15.3, p. 30]).

**Theorem B.** *Let  $s(v)$  vanish for  $v < 0$ , be nondecreasing, continuous from the right, and suppose that for some fixed  $\alpha > 0$  and some constant  $L > 0$ , we have*

$$\int_{0^-}^{\infty} e^{-rv} ds(v) \sim L/r^\alpha, \quad \text{as } r \downarrow 0.$$

Then as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $s(u) \sim \frac{L}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} u^\alpha$ .

**Theorem C.** *Suppose  $a(v)$  is locally Riemann integrable and that the improper integral  $F(r) := \int_0^\infty a(v)e^{-rv} dv$  exists for all  $r > 0$ . Suppose that  $F(r) \rightarrow L$  as  $r \downarrow 0$ , and that for all sufficiently large values of  $v$ , one has*

$$a(v) \geq -C/v$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . Then (as an improper Riemann integral)

$$\int_0^\infty a(v) dv = L.$$

We suppose for the remainder of this section that we have fixed a Beurling system  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying (1.3). The next sequence of lemmas develops the basic analytic number theory of the corresponding Beurling integers.

**Lemma 2.1.** *As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\sum_{|n| \leq x} \frac{1}{|n|} \sim A \log x$ .*

*Proof.* We apply Theorem B with  $s(v) := \sum_{|n| \leq e^v} |n|^{-1}$ . Then

$$\int_{0^-}^\infty e^{-rv} ds(v) = \sum_n |n|^{-1-r} = \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(1+r) \sim A/r, \quad \text{as } r \downarrow 0.$$

So by Theorem B (with  $L = A$  and  $\alpha = 1$ ), we have  $s(u) \sim Au$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . Now put  $u = \log x$  to obtain the lemma.  $\square$

For each Beurling integer  $n$ , set  $\Lambda(n) = \log |p|$  if  $n$  is a power of the Beurling prime  $p$ , and put  $\Lambda(n) = 0$  otherwise.

**Lemma 2.2.** *As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \sum_{|m| \leq x/|d|} \frac{1}{|m|} \sim \frac{A}{2} (\log x)^2.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\log |n| = \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d)$ , we have

$$\sum_{|n| \leq x} \frac{\log |n|}{|n|} = \sum_{|n| \leq x} \frac{1}{|n|} \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d) = \sum_{|d| \leq x} \Lambda(d) \sum_{\substack{|n| \leq x \\ d|n}} \frac{1}{|n|} = \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \sum_{|m| \leq x/|d|} \frac{1}{|m|}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{|n| \leq x} \frac{\log |n|}{|n|} = \int_{1^-}^x \log t d \left( \sum_{|n| \leq t} \frac{1}{|n|} \right) \sim \frac{A}{2} (\log x)^2$$

by Lemma 2.1 and an easy integration by parts. Comparing these two expressions gives the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\left( \frac{1}{2} + o(1) \right) \log x \leq \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \leq (2 + o(1)) \log x.$$

*Proof.* First, observe that from Lemma 2.2,

$$\sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \sum_{|m| \leq x} \frac{1}{|m|} \geq (1 + o(1)) \frac{A}{2} (\log x)^2;$$

using that  $\sum_{|m| \leq x} \frac{1}{|m|} \leq (1 + o(1)) A \log x$ , we obtain the lower estimate of the lemma. Next, note that from Lemma 2.2,

$$\sum_{|d| \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \sum_{|m| \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{|m|} \leq \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \sum_{|m| \leq x/|d|} \frac{1}{|m|} \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{A}{2} (\log x)^2.$$

Since  $\sum_{|m| \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{|m|} \geq (\frac{1}{2} + o(1))A \log x$ , we find that

$$\sum_{|d| \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \leq (1 + o(1)) \log x.$$

Now replace  $x$  with  $x^2$  to obtain the upper estimate. □

**Lemma 2.4.** *As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \log \frac{x}{|d|} \sim \frac{1}{2} (\log x)^2.$$

*Proof.* Write  $\sum_{|m| \leq t} |m|^{-1} = A \log t + E(t)$ , so that  $E(t) = o(\log t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . By Lemma 2.2, it suffices to show that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} E(x/|d|) = o((\log x)^2).$$

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $t_0$  so that  $|E(t)| < \epsilon \log t$  once  $t \geq t_0$ . Then with  $B$  denoting an upper bound on  $|E(t)|$  for  $t \leq t_0$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} E(x/|d|) \right| &\leq \epsilon \sum_{|d| \leq x/t_0} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \log \frac{x}{|d|} + \sum_{x/t_0 < |d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} |E(x/|d|)| \\ &\leq \epsilon \log x \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} + B \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the upper bound of Lemma 2.3, we see that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} E(x/|d|) \right|}{(\log x)^2} \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, the lemma follows. □

We now improve Lemma 2.3 to an asymptotic result.

**Lemma 2.5.** *As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \sim \log x.$$

*Proof.* Write  $G(x)$  for the sum appearing on the left-hand side of (2.1). For each fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$G(x^{1+\epsilon}) - G(x) \geq \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \log(x^\epsilon) = \epsilon \log x \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|}.$$

On the other hand, using the asymptotic formula provided by Lemma 2.4,

$$G(x^{1+\epsilon}) - G(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} ((1+\epsilon)^2 - 1) (\log x)^2.$$

Comparing these two expressions, we find that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|}}{\log x} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1+\epsilon)^2 - 1}{\epsilon}.$$

Letting  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ , we get the upper-bound estimate implicit in the statement of Lemma 2.5. The lower bound can be handled similarly, upon noting that

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) - G(x^{1-\epsilon}) &= \sum_{|d| \leq x^{1-\epsilon}} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \log(x^\epsilon) + \sum_{x^{1-\epsilon} < |d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \log \frac{x}{|d|} \\ &\leq \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \log(x^\epsilon) = \epsilon \log x \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We next prove two crude bounds on  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$  and  $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *For  $x \geq 3$ , we have  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \ll x \log x$ .*

*Proof.* We have only to observe that

$$N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) = \sum_{|n| \leq x} 1 \leq x \sum_{|n| \leq x} \frac{1}{|n|} \ll x \log x,$$

using Lemma 2.1 in the last step.  $\square$

To establish the needed estimate on  $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$ , we first isolate a simple consequence of Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6.

**Lemma 2.7.** *As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\sum_{|p| \leq x} \frac{\log |p|}{|p|} \sim \log x.$$

*Proof.* After Lemma 2.5, it suffices to observe that the contribution to  $\sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|}$  from those  $d$  which are not prime is

$$\leq \sum_{|p| \leq x} \log |p| \left( \frac{1}{|p|^2} + \frac{1}{|p|^3} + \dots \right) \ll \sum_{|p| \leq x} \frac{\log |p|}{|p|^2} \leq \int_1^\infty \frac{\log t}{t^2} dN_{\mathcal{B}}(t) \ll 1,$$

using Lemma 2.6 to estimate the integral.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.**  *$\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x)/x \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\#\{p : x/(\log x)^2 < |p| \leq x\} \cdot \frac{\log x}{x} \leq \sum_{x/(\log x)^2 < |p| \leq x} \frac{\log |p|}{|p|} = o(\log x),$$

by Lemma 2.7. Hence, the number of primes  $p$  with  $x/(\log x)^2 < |p| \leq x$  is  $o(x)$ . But the number of  $p$  with  $|p| \leq x/(\log x)^2$  is trivially at most  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x/(\log x)^2) \ll x/\log x$  (using Lemma 2.6), and so is also  $o(x)$ .  $\square$

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. We begin with the forward direction.

*Proof that (1.3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1.2).* The proof of (1.2) is completed in the same manner as Olofsson's Theorem A. To keep the paper self contained, we give the details, closely

following [8]. For real  $s > 1$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \log \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s) &= - \int_{|p_1|^-}^{\infty} \log(1 - t^{-s}) d\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t) = s \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t(t^s - 1)} dt \\ &= s \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} \left( \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t) - \frac{t}{\log t} \right) t^{-(s+1)} dt + s \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^{s+1}(t^s - 1)} dt + s \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} \frac{t^{-s}}{\log t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, as  $s \downarrow 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s) &= s \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2} - \frac{1}{t \log t} \right) t^{-(s-1)} dt + \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2(t-1)} dt \\ &\quad + \log \frac{1}{s-1} - \log \log |p_1| - \gamma + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

(The final integral appearing in (2.2) can be seen to equal  $-\text{Ei}((1-s)\log |p_1|)$ , by a change of variables; the estimate given above then follows from the relation  $\text{Ei}(t) = \log(-t) + \gamma + o(1)$ , as  $t \uparrow 0$ . See, e.g., [4, p. 884].) Put

$$(2.3) \quad I(s-1) := \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2} - \frac{1}{t \log t} \right) t^{-(s-1)} dt.$$

From the above and our hypothesis (1.3) that  $(s-1)\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s) \rightarrow A$ , we have that as  $s \downarrow 1$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} s \cdot I(s-1) &= \log((s-1)\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s)) + \log \log |p_1| + \gamma - \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2(t-1)} dt + o(1) \\ &= L + o(1), \quad \text{where } L := \log(Ae^\gamma) + \log \log |p_1| - \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2(t-1)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

If we make the change of variables  $t := e^v$ , we find that

$$I(s-1) = \int_{\log |p_1|}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(e^v)}{e^v} - \frac{1}{v} \right) e^{-v(s-1)} dv.$$

As  $s \downarrow 1$ , we have seen that  $I(s-1) \rightarrow L$ ; applying Theorem C with  $a(v) := \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(e^v)e^{-v} - v^{-1}$  shows that

$$(2.5) \quad I(0) = L.$$

Applying partial summation once again, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_{|p| \leq x} (1 - 1/|p|)^{-1} &= - \sum_{|p| \leq x} \log(1 - 1/|p|) \\ &= - \int_{|p_1|^-}^x \log(1 - 1/t) d\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t) = \int_{|p_1|}^x \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2 - t} dt + O(\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x)/x). \end{aligned}$$

The error term is  $o(1)$ , by Lemma 2.8. Keeping (2.5) in mind, we see that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|p_1|}^x \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2 - t} dt &= \int_{|p_1|}^x \left( \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2} - \frac{1}{t \log t} \right) dt + \int_{|p_1|}^x \frac{dt}{t \log t} + \int_{|p_1|}^x \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2(t-1)} dt \\ &= I(0) + \log \log x - \log \log |p_1| + \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2(t-1)} dt + o(1) \\ &= \log(Ae^\gamma \log x) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Collecting our estimates and exponentiating, we arrive at the estimate

$$\prod_{|p| \leq x} (1 - 1/|p|)^{-1} \sim Ae^\gamma \log x,$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

The reverse direction is easier:

*Proof that (1.2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1.3).* We have to show that if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Beurling system for which the Mertens-type theorem (1.2) holds with the constant  $A > 0$ , then  $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s) \sim A/(s-1)$  as  $s \downarrow 1$ . We first show that the crude estimates of Lemmas 2.6 and 2.8 are valid under the hypothesis (1.2). First, observe that

$$N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \leq x \sum_{|n| \leq x} \frac{1}{|n|} \leq x \prod_{|p| \leq x} (1 - 1/|p|)^{-1} \ll x \log x.$$

Also, (1.2) gives that

$$\log \prod_{x/(\log x)^2 < |p| \leq x} (1 - 1/|p|)^{-1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

But

$$\log \prod_{x/(\log x)^2 < |p| \leq x} (1 - 1/|p|)^{-1} \geq \sum_{x/(\log x)^2 < |p| \leq x} \frac{1}{|p|} \geq \frac{1}{x} \#\{p : x/(\log x)^2 < |p| \leq x\}.$$

Hence, there are only  $o(x)$  primes  $p$  with  $x/(\log x)^2 < |p| \leq x$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . As in the proof of Lemma 2.8, there are  $\ll x/\log x$  primes  $p$  with  $|p| \leq x/(\log x)^2$ . So the number of  $p$  with  $|p| \leq x$  is  $o(x)$ .

With this preparation out of the way, we can reason as in the proof of the forward direction to find that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_{|p| \leq x} (1 - 1/|p|)^{-1} &= \\ &= \int_{|p_1|}^x \left( \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2} - \frac{1}{t \log t} \right) dt + \log \log x - \log \log |p_1| + \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2(t-1)} dt + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

We are assuming the Mertens-type formula (1.2) holds, so that

$$\log \prod_{|p| \leq x} (1 - 1/|p|)^{-1} = \log(Ae^\gamma) + \log \log x + o(1);$$

comparing with the previous expression, we deduce that if we define

$$L(x) := \int_{|p_1|}^x \left( \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2} - \frac{1}{t \log t} \right) dt,$$

then  $L(x) \rightarrow L$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $L$  is as in (2.4). In other words, defining  $I(s-1)$  as in (2.3), we have  $I(0) = L$ .

Returning to  $\zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s)$ , we have (by the same arguments appearing in the forward direction) that as  $s \downarrow 1$ ,

$$\log \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s) = sI(s-1) + \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)}{t^2(t-1)} dt + \log \frac{1}{s-1} - \log \log |p_1| - \gamma + o(1).$$



If we show that  $I(s-1) \rightarrow I(0) = L$  as  $s \downarrow 1$ , substituting the value (2.4) of  $L$  into this expression will give that as  $s \downarrow 1$ ,

$$\log \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s) = \log \frac{A}{s-1} + o(1),$$

and the desired result (1.3) will follow upon exponentiating. To see that  $I(s-1) \rightarrow L$  as  $s \downarrow 0$ , we note that for  $s > 1$ ,

$$I(s-1) = \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} t^{-(s-1)} dL(t) = (s-1) \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} L(t) t^{-s} dt.$$

Since  $L(t) \rightarrow L$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , it is straightforward to show (by writing  $L(t) = L + E(t)$ , where  $E(t) = o(1)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) that as  $s \downarrow 1$ ,

$$I(s-1) = (s-1) \int_{|p_1|}^{\infty} L \cdot t^{-s} dt + o(1) = L + o(1).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We now suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a fixed Beurling system for which  $\sum_{|n| \leq x} \frac{1}{|n|} \asymp \log x$  for  $x \geq 2$ . In this situation, it is easy to establish the lower estimate implicit in (1.5): We have

$$\prod_{|p| \leq x} (1 - 1/|p|)^{-1} = \sum_{n: p|n \Rightarrow |p| \leq x} \frac{1}{|n|} \geq \sum_{|n| \leq x} \frac{1}{|n|} \gg \log x.$$

So we may focus our attention on the upper estimate. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|p| \leq x} \left( \log \frac{1}{1 - 1/p} - \frac{1}{p} \right) &= \sum_{|p| \leq x} \left( \frac{1}{2|p|^2} + \frac{1}{3|p|^3} + \dots \right) \\ (3.1) \quad &\ll \sum_p \frac{1}{|p|^2} \leq \sum_n \frac{1}{|n|^2} = \int_{1-}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} d \left( \sum_{|n| \leq t} \frac{1}{|n|} \right) \ll 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is enough to show that for  $x \geq 3$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{|p| \leq x} \frac{1}{|p|} \leq \log \log x + O(1).$$

To establish (3.2), we need the following crude upper bound for the sum considered in Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5:

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \ll \log x.$$

This is simple to prove: On the one hand,  $\sum_{|n| \leq x} \frac{\log |n|}{|n|} = \int_{1-}^x \log t d \left( \sum_{|n| \leq t} \frac{1}{|n|} \right) \ll (\log x)^2$ . On the other hand,

$$\sum_{|n| \leq x} \frac{\log |n|}{|n|} = \sum_{|n| \leq x} \frac{1}{|n|} \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d) = \sum_{|d| \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \sum_{|m| \leq x/|d|} \frac{1}{|m|} \gg \log x \sum_{|d| \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|}.$$

Comparing these two estimates shows that  $\sum_{|d| \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{\Lambda(d)}{|d|} \ll \log x$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $x^2$  gives (3.3).

Next, observe that for  $s > 1$ , we have

$$\log \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s) = \log \prod_p (1 - |p|^{-s})^{-1} \geq \sum_p |p|^{-s}.$$

Our hypothesis (1.4) on the partial sums of  $\frac{1}{|n|}$  shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(s) &= \int_{1^-}^{\infty} t^{-(s-1)} d \left( \sum_{|n| \leq t} \frac{1}{|n|} \right) = (s-1) \int_1^{\infty} \left( \sum_{|n| \leq t} \frac{1}{|n|} \right) t^{-s} dt \\ &\ll 1 + (s-1) \int_1^{\infty} (\log t) \cdot t^{-s} dt = 1 + (s-1) \cdot \frac{1}{(s-1)^2} = \frac{s}{s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $1 < s < 2$  (say),

$$\sum_p |p|^{-s} \leq \log \frac{1}{s-1} + O(1).$$

Taking  $s = 1 + \frac{1}{\log x}$  shows that for  $x \geq 3$ ,

$$\sum_{|p| \leq x} |p|^{-1-1/\log x} \leq \sum_p |p|^{-1-1/\log x} \leq \log \log x + O(1).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|p| \leq x} |p|^{-1} - \sum_{|p| \leq x} |p|^{-1-1/\log x} &= \sum_{|p| \leq x} \frac{|p|^{1/\log x} - 1}{|p|^{1+1/\log x}} \\ &\ll \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{|p| \leq x} \frac{\log |p|}{|p|} \ll 1, \end{aligned}$$

by (3.3). This proves (3.2) and completes the proof of (1.5).

It remains to show that condition (1.4) does not imply that the ratio of the left and right-hand sides of (1.5) tends to a limit. By (3.1), this is equivalent to showing that there is a Beurling system satisfying (1.4) for which the differences

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{|p| \leq x} \frac{1}{|p|} - \log \log x$$

do not tend to a limit as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . We will show more than this; we demonstrate how to construct a Beurling system where (3.4) fails to tend to a limit, but which has the property (stronger than (1.4)) that

$$N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \asymp x \quad (\text{for } x \geq 1).$$

The existence of such a system will be deduced from the following theorem of Zhang (see [14, Theorem 4.1]):

**Theorem D.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Beurling system, and suppose that with*

$$(3.5) \quad \Pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x) := \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x^{1/2}) + \frac{1}{3}\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x^{1/3}) + \dots,$$

*we have both*

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \asymp x / \log x$$

*for large  $x$  and, as  $s \downarrow 1$ ,*

$$(3.6) \quad \int_1^{\infty} t^{-s} d\Pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t) - \log \frac{1}{s-1} \ll 1.$$

Then  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \asymp x$ .

Our strategy is to first choose the norms  $|p_i|$  to guarantee that (3.4) is bounded but not convergent and then to use Theorem D to show that the Beurling system determined by our choice satisfies  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \asymp x$ .

We start by constructing a set  $\mathcal{R}$  of natural numbers with counting function  $R(x) \asymp x/\log x$  and with the property that

$$\sum_{r \in \mathcal{R} \cap [1, x]} \frac{1}{r} - \log \log x$$

is bounded for  $x \geq 3$  but does not converge as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Using the letter  $q$  to denote a generic rational prime, choose the natural number  $x_1$  minimally so that if  $\mathcal{R}_1$  consists of all the numbers of the form  $q$  or  $q + 1$  not exceeding  $x_1$ , then

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}_1} \frac{1}{r} - \sum_{q \leq x_1} \frac{1}{q} > 1.$$

Next, choose  $x_2 > x_1$  minimally so that if  $\mathcal{R}_2$  consists of the primes  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  in  $(x_1, x_2]$ , then

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2} \frac{1}{r} - \sum_{q \leq x_2} \frac{1}{q} < -1.$$

Then choose  $x_3 > x_2$  minimally so that if  $\mathcal{R}_3$  consists of all the numbers of the form  $q$  or  $q + 1$  in  $(x_2, x_3]$ , then

$$\sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2 \cup \mathcal{R}_3} \frac{1}{r} - \sum_{q \leq x_3} \frac{1}{q} > 1,$$

and continue defining  $\mathcal{R}_4, \mathcal{R}_5, \dots$ , alternating as above. Finally, set  $\mathcal{R} := \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_i$ .

Let us check that  $\mathcal{R}$  has the desired properties. Clearly  $R(x) \leq 2\pi(x) \ll x/\log x$  (for large  $x$ ), where  $\pi(x)$  is the usual (rational) prime counting function. It is slightly more involved to obtain the corresponding lower estimate  $R(x) \gg x/\log x$ . We first show that for large  $i$ , we have  $R(x_i) \gg \pi(x_i)$ . For this, it is enough to show that for every large  $j$ , a proportion  $\gg 1$  of the rational primes belonging to  $(x_j, x_{j+1}]$  are included in  $\mathcal{R}$ . If  $j$  is even, then  $\mathcal{R}$  includes every rational prime from that interval, so suppose that  $j$  is odd. In that case, subtracting the analogue of (3.8) for  $x_{j+1}$  from the analogue of (3.7) for  $x_j$ , we find that

$$\sum_{\substack{x_j < q \leq x_{j+1} \\ q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}}} \frac{1}{q} > 2,$$

which implies that  $x_{j+1} > x_j^2$  (say) for large values of  $j$ . So by the prime number theorem for progressions, the number of primes  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  in  $(x_j, x_{j+1}]$  is  $> \frac{1}{3}\pi(x_{j+1})$ . This completes the proof that  $R(x_i) \gg \pi(x_i)$ .

Now we prove that  $R(x) \gg x/\log x$  for large  $x$ . From our work in the last paragraph, we can assume that  $x_i < x < x_{i+1}$  for some index  $i$ . We know that

$$R(x) \gg \pi(x_i) + \#\{r \in \mathcal{R} : x_i < r \leq x\}.$$

If  $i$  is even, then every prime from  $(x_i, x]$  is counted in the second summand, and so  $R(x) \gg \pi(x) \gg x/\log x$  in this case. Suppose that  $i$  is odd. Then if  $x \geq 2x_i$  (and large, as we are assuming), the number of primes included in  $\mathcal{R}$  from  $(x_i, x]$

is at least  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the total number of such primes, and again we may conclude that  $R(x) \gg \pi(x) \gg x/\log x$ . But if  $x \leq 2x_i$ , then

$$R(x) \gg \pi(x_i) \gg x_i/\log x_i \gg x/\log x,$$

and so the desired lower bound still holds. Finally, since  $\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} - \log \log x$  tends to a limit, it is clear from our construction that  $\sum_{r \in \mathcal{R} \cap [1, x]} \frac{1}{r} - \log \log x$  is  $O(1)$  but does not converge as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we define a Beurling system  $\mathcal{B}$  by setting  $|p_i|$  to be the  $i$ th smallest element of  $\mathcal{R}$ . As shown above,  $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t) \asymp t/\log t$  for large  $t$ . (In fact,  $2 \in \mathcal{R}$ , so that this estimate holds for  $t \geq 2$ .) So with  $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)$  defined by (3.5), we have  $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t) = \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t) + O(t^{1/2})$ . Consequently,  $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t) \asymp t/\log t$  and to verify (3.6), it is enough to verify the corresponding condition with  $\Pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)$  replaced by  $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t)$ . But this variant follows from the estimate  $\sum_{|p| \leq x} \frac{1}{|p|} - \log \log x \ll 1$ : Indeed, for  $s > 1$ , that estimate shows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty t^{-s} d\pi_{\mathcal{B}}(t) &= \int_1^\infty t^{-(s-1)} d\left(\sum_{|p| \leq t} |p|^{-1}\right) \\ &= (s-1) \int_{|p_1|}^\infty t^{-s} \left(\sum_{|p| \leq t} |p|^{-1}\right) dt = (s-1) \int_{|p_1|}^\infty t^{-s} \log \log t dt + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

while as  $s \downarrow 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (s-1) \int_{|p_1|}^\infty t^{-s} \log \log t dt &= \log \log |p_1| + o(1) + \int_{|p_1|}^\infty \frac{t^{-s}}{\log t} dt \\ &= \log \frac{1}{s-1} - \gamma + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

(In the first of the two lines above, we have integrated by parts, and in the second line we have again used the asymptotic expansion of the exponential integral.) By Theorem D, our Beurling system has  $N_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \asymp x$ , as desired.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many thanks are owed to Harold Diamond: First, for his excellent seminar talks at the University of Illinois on Beurling primes, and second, for informing me (after being sent an early draft of this manuscript) that he and Wen-Bin Zhang had independently obtained Theorem 1.1. I am also grateful to Greg Martin and Carl Pomerance for useful conversations. Finally, I would like to acknowledge the efforts of the referee, whose careful reading exposed a number of inaccuracies in an earlier version.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beurling, *Analyse de la loi asymptotique de la distribution des nombres premiers généralisés. I*. Acta Math. **68**(1937), 255–291.
- [2] H. G. Diamond, *A set of generalized numbers showing Beurling’s theorem to be sharp*. Illinois J. Math. **14**(1970), 29–34.
- [3] ———, *Chebyshev estimates for Beurling generalized prime numbers*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **39**(1973), 503–508.
- [4] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*. Seventh ed. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2007.

- [5] R. S. Hall, *Beurling generalized prime number systems in which the Chebyshev inequalities fail*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **40**(1973), 79–82.
- [6] J. Korevaar, *Tauberian theory: A century of developments*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 329, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [7] P. Lebacque, *Generalised Mertens and Brauer-Siegel theorems*. Acta Arith. **130**(2007), no. 4, 333–350.
- [8] R. Olofsson, *Properties of the Beurling generalized primes*. J. Number Theory **131**(2011), no. 1, 45–58.
- [9] W. Parry and M. Pollicott, *Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics*. Astérisque **187-188**(1990), 268 pp.
- [10] M. Pollicott, *Agmon's complex Tauberian theorem and closed orbits for hyperbolic and geodesic flows*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114**(1992), no. 4, 1105–1108.
- [11] ———, *Periodic orbits and zeta functions*. In: Handbook of dynamical systems, Vol. 1A. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 409–452.
- [12] M. Rosen, *A generalization of Mertens' theorem*. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **14**(1999), no. 1, 1–19.
- [13] R. Sharp, *An analogue of Mertens' theorem for closed orbits of Axiom A flows*. Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **21**(1991), no. 2, 205–229.
- [14] W.-B. Zhang, *Density and O-density of Beurling generalized integers*. J. Number Theory **30**(1988), no. 2, 120–139.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, 1984 MATHEMATICS ROAD,  
VANCOUVER, BC CANADA V6T 1Z2

SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, BURNABY, BC CANADA V5A 1S6  
*E-mail address:* [pollack@math.ubc.ca](mailto:pollack@math.ubc.ca)  
*URL:* <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~pollack/>